

Deloitte.

Capital Optimization

The impact of the new
regulatory framework

Milan, 23 April 2015



Introduction

- Since the global financial crisis regulators have been trying to address perceived inadequacies in the financial industry regulatory framework
- The main outcomes of the new regulatory framework include higher capital requirements, increased demand of high-quality capital, a leverage ratio, an international liquidity framework, a regulatory framework for global systemically important banks (G-SIBs), ongoing work related to RWA reliability and comparability, new disclosure standards
- Regulators are using new tools to supervise banks' safety, among which asset quality reviews and stress test, that may become standard methodological approaches
- In a market environment where earnings from traditional banking activity are already under pressure due to the economic crisis, regulatory reforms have the potential to negatively affect banks' return on equity
- Effective capital management has become extremely important, not only to improve capital adequacy, but mainly as one of the few strategies available to restore profitability and enable growth
- RWA optimization is a component of capital management that allows to reduce regulatory capital consumption, i.e. the denominator of capital ratios.
- RWA optimization can be achieved through a range of actions that goes from minimizing RWA consumption of current assets, to portfolio optimization strategies and business model changes.

Agenda

1. **Regulatory interventions**
2. Capital Optimization
3. Impacts on capital optimization drivers
4. Conclusions



Regulatory interventions

From Basel 2.5 and Basel 3 to EMIR

Basel 2.5 and Basel 3

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 ▪ Stressed VaR + IRC 2 ▪ Exposures to Central Counterparties 3 ▪ Leverage ratio Enhanced Risk coverage 4 ▪ Raising quality, consistency and transparency of capital | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5 ▪ Capital Conservation Buffer 6 ▪ Countercyclical Buffer 6 ▪ Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) 6 ▪ Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR) 6 ▪ Management of intra-day liquidity 7 ▪ Regulatory framework for G-SIBs |
|--|--|

Capital shortfall in € bn* (source EBA)



Leverage ratio shortfall in € bn (source EBA)



* Including Capital Conservation Buffer (2019).

As a result of the 2014 Comprehensive Assessment, the total capital requirement impact is €262.7 billion as of October, 2014

EMIR and Margin requirements for non-centrally cleared derivatives

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 ▪ Mandatory Clearing through CCPs for standardized derivatives 2 ▪ Harmonized framework for clearing services | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 ▪ Strengthened risk management requirements for non cleared derivatives 4 ▪ Reporting to trade repositories (TRs) |
|--|--|

Regulatory interventions

Reducing excessive variability in banks' regulatory capital ratios

- In November 2014, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued the report “*Reducing excessive variability in banks' regulatory capital ratios*” aimed at **addressing excessive variability in risk weighted asset calculation** with the objective of improving consistency and comparability in bank capital ratios
- The main steps the Committee is taking in order to reduce the level of observed variation in capital ratios across banks are listed below:

1. POLICY MEASURES



Objective: (i) Improving standardized approaches for **floors** calculations and **benchmarking** (ii) undertaking a more **fundamental review of modelling practices**

Measures: (i) Review of the Standardized Approach (ii) Capital Floor (iii) Banks' credit risk modelling practices (iv) Banks' market risk modelling practices (v) Leverage ratio

2. DISCLOSURE



Objective: Strengthening the **disclosure requirements** related to risk weights by amending Pillar III of the Basel framework

Measures: New Basel framework's Pillar III disclosure requirements proposed in June 2014

3. ONGOING MONITORING



Objective: Ensuring proper implementation by monitoring outcomes of risk weighted asset variability through **Hypothetical Portfolio Exercises (HPEs) under Committee's RCAP**

Measures: (i) Ongoing program of hypothetical portfolio exercises (ii) Analysis of the remaining material asset classes in the banking and trading book

Regulatory interventions

Focus: Policy measures for reducing excessive variability

| Type of policy response | Policy measures | Status | Finalisation |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1) Review of the Standardised Approaches | Credit risk | Consultation published December 2014 | End-2015 |
| | Market risk | Third public consultation completed | End-2015 |
| | Operational risk | Proposed revisions published October 2014 | Mid-2015 |
| | Counterparty credit risk | Document published March 2014 | End - 2017 |
| 2) Capital floors | Replacement of the Basel II transitional floor with a permanent floor based on the SA for credit, market and operational risk | Consultation document published end-2014 | End-2015 |
| 3) Credit risk internal models | Constraints on credit risk model parameter estimates (eg LGD parameter for low-default exposures; maturity of revolving facilities) | Consultation by mid-2015 | End-2015 |
| | Alignment of definitions of exposures under IRB and revised SA | | |
| | Guidance to support the risk models framework (eg validation of risk models; “margins of conservatism” in model estimates) | | |
| 4) Market risk internal models | Greater standardisation of traded market risk model requirements (eg use of historical data; treatment of correlation) | Third public consultation completed | End-2015 |
| 5) Leverage ratio | Complementary measure aimed at restricting the build-up of excessive leverage and at mitigating model risk | Exposure definition finalised – monitoring and calibration 2015-17 | Disclosure 2015 Implementation on 2018 |

Regulatory interventions

New Regulatory proposals

REGULATORY PROPOSALS

Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process (SREP)

- Additional capital requested by the supervisor is to be composed of 56% CET1
- Competent authorities should determine additional own funds requirements on a risk-by-risk basis, using supervisory judgment, ICAAP calculations, benchmark calculations and other relevant inputs

EBA Proposals on CVA Exemptions

- EBA Opinion on CVA (February 2015)

Treatment on Sovereign Exposures

- ESRB report on the regulatory treatment of **sovereign exposures**
- Italian institutions would face **additional capital requirements of €2.6 billion**

Interest Rate Risk of Banking Book

- A consultation paper is expected in 2015 that should change Pillar II treatment and possibly introduce a Pillar I requirement

Agenda

1. Regulatory interventions
2. **Capital Optimization**
3. Impacts on capital optimization drivers
4. Conclusions



Capital optimization

Drivers

DATA QUALITY

- Data errors
- Data gaps

MODELS & APPROACHES

- Internal model approaches
- Improved coverage and granularity of risk models

REGULATORY INTERPRETATION

- Interpretation of unclear or ambiguous rules

PROCESSES

- Risk mitigation processes
- Risk-adjusted pricing
- Capital allocation

PORTFOLIO OPTIMIZATION

- Deleveraging
- Risk transfer
- Reducing/eliminating RWA-consuming business lines

Agenda

1. Regulatory interventions
2. Capital Optimization
3. **Impacts on capital optimization drivers**
4. Conclusions



Impacts on capital optimization

Assessing the effects of the new regulatory framework

| | REGULATORY INTERVENTIONS IMPACTS |
|---------------------------|--|
| DATA QUALITY | Data quality remains a key driver in RWA optimization as data errors and gap will continue to have a strong negative impact on regulatory capital calculations. |
| MODELS & APPROACHES | Risk weights have too frequently declined without a genuine reduction in risk in the banking system, undermining the credibility of banks' internally-modelled risk weights. For this reason internal models will have less space to be a capital optimization driver |
| REGULATORY INTERPRETATION | There will be less space for different interpretations of capital standards as ensuring consistent implementation is a priority for regulators |
| PROCESSES | Risk-adjusted pricing and capital allocation is becoming a market practice Risk-mitigation processes, in particular collateral management, will play a key role, also due to new clearing and margining requirements |
| PORTFOLIO OPTIMIZATION | In a low yield environment, the analysis of trade-off opportunities between increasing Raroc and reducing RWA will be a key driver in banks' business model decisions |

Agenda

1. Regulatory interventions
2. Capital Optimization
3. Impacts on capital optimization drivers
4. **Conclusions**



Conclusions

Key takeaway messages

Key takeaway messages



Capital shortage

- European banks are no longer confronting a severe capital shortage as in the aftermath of the financial crisis
- ECB Comprehensive assessment decreased the total stock of available capital
- The regulatory framework is not consolidated yet and **forthcoming reforms may lead to additional capital needs**

Profitability

- RWA optimization is not just an answer to new regulations since a capital efficient business model is also a way to **increase banks' profitability**

Capital-light business model

- **Processes and portfolio optimization will increase their relative importance** as RWA optimization drivers, data quality is likely to maintain its central role, whilst models and rules' interpretation will have a lower impact

Deloitte.